## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2162-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SJR 15

Subject: Constitutional Amendments; Courts; Judges; Taxation and Revenue - General

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 29, 2009

Bill Summary: Would propose to the voters a Constitutional Amendment regarding court-

ordered tax increases.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010 FY 2011 F					
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0			

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 2162-01 Bill No. SJR 15 Page 2 of 5 April 29, 2009

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010 FY 2011 FY 2				
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

<sup>□</sup> Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

<sup>☐</sup> Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) stated that many joint resolutions are considered by the General Assembly that would require the SOS to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of a statewide ballot measure as directed by the Missouri Constitution and state law. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.6 million historically appropriated in even numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2009, at the November election, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.35 million to publish (an average of \$270,000 per issue). Therefore, the SOS assumes, for fiscal note purposes, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because publication of these ballot measures is mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

If a special election is called for this purpose rather than being voted on at a general election, the cost of the special election has been estimated to be \$1.2 million based on the cost of the past two such elections.

**Oversight** assumes that this proposal would be submitted to the voters on a general election ballot and that the cost to the SOS could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Administrative Hearing Commission**, and the **Department of Revenue** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** did not respond to our request for information.

L.R. No. 2162-01 Bill No. SJR 15 Page 4 of 5 April 29, 2009

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2010 (10 Mo.)	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

#### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

The proposal would propose submitting to the voters a constitutional amendment declaring that the general assembly would not be required to enact legislation to comply with a court order that it raise taxes.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 2162-01 Bill No. SJR 15 Page 5 of 5 April 29, 2009

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration
Administrative Hearing Commission
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Revenue

#### **NOT RESPONDING**

Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director April 29, 2009